

SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED
1)	<u>Ernst &amp; Young LLP</u>	Section 3 - Data Sheet Clause no. 7 Date, time and venue of proposal submission (Pg 15 of 71)	We would like to request for extension of 2 weeks for proposal submission keeping in mind the upcoming festive season and pre-planned leaves of several team members.	The proposal submission date is hereby extended to <b>31.10.2023</b> till 12:30 PM. It is further clarified that <u>meeting regarding opening of technical proposal shall be held at 3:30 PM on 31.10.2023</u> wherein the bidders shall be required to present their technical proposal with a focus on the detailed methodology for each item in the scope of work.  The meeting regarding opening of financial bid shall be held on 03.11.2023 at 3:30 PM.
2)		Section 3 -Data Sheet Clause no. 16 Evaluation Criteria / (Pg 17 of 71) And Experience of the consultant firm' clause for Detailed Technical Qualifications Assessment: Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria (Pg 19 of 71) / Prior Experience Having completed or currently engaged in at least two assignments related to any of the following:  - Urban development and planning including town planning schemes or local area plans	From the eligibility criterion specified, it is understood that projects being carried out in the last 7 years and of project value of at least 1 crore should be submitted.  We would like to highlight here that the no such requirement is mentioned for 'Experience of the consultant firm' clause for Detailed Technical Qualifications Assessment: Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria (Pg 19 of 71).  We request the client to confirm our understanding that above understanding is correct.	It is clarified that <b>Clause no. 16- Minimum Eligibility Criteria for Bidder (Section 3- Data Sheet)</b> and <b>Clause No. 2-Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria (Section 4-Evaluation Marking)</b> are mutually exclusive and are not required to be read in conjunction with each other. Therefore, the suggestion is not considered.

SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED
		<p>- Urban Transport including Transit Oriented Development - Housing including in-situ redevelopment of slums, slum upgradation or monetisation of land parcels.</p> <p>- Building regulations or byelaws</p> <p>Provided that the assignments should have been offered to any State or the Union Government, any local Authority, statutory body or public sector undertaking. And further that such assignments should have been performed during the period of seven years prior to the date of submission of the bid. And that the professional fees received or receivable from such assignment are at least Rupees One Crore in Indian Rupees.</p>	<p>Further, we would like to request that similar criterion applied for eligibility (projects being carried out in the last 7 years and of project value of at least 1 crore) be applied for experience submitted for technical evaluation as well. This is important to understand the bidder's capability of delivering a similar mandate for Haryana, based on past successes.</p>	
3)		<p>Section 3 - Data Sheet</p> <p>Clause no. 16 Evaluation Criteria (Pg 18 of 71)/ Rupees Ten Crore</p>	<p>The RFP includes an expansive scope focussing on bringing together cross domain experts with a rich</p>	<p>In order to have maximum participation, the annual turnover per annum for the period between 01 April 2020 and 31<sup>st</sup> March 2023, as mentioned at <b>Clause no. 16-Minimum Eligibility Criteria for</b></p>

SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED
		per annum for the period between 01 April 2020 and 31 March 2023.	experience of having worked in the government and public sector. We would like to humbly highlight that to deliver the extensive scope successfully, the Bidder should have access to a pool of experts ranging from different sectors and domains, at short notice to ensure that the right mix of team is positioned to carry out the tasks as per the requirement. For the above reason, we suggest that the avg. annual turnover requirement may be increased as turnover is reflective of the size of the firm and the pool it's draws its expertise from. The same would be critical to successful delivery of the scope mentioned in the RFP.	<b>Bidder (Section 3- Data Sheet)</b> shall be retained as Rs. 10.00 Crore. Therefore, the suggestion is not considered.
4)		Section 3 -Data Sheet Clause no. 17 Method of Selection (Pg 18 of 71)/ In deciding the final selection of the consultant, the technical quality of the proposal	The RFP includes an expansive scope focussing on bringing together cross-domain experts with a rich experience of having worked in the government and public sector. We would like to humbly	The scope of work being technical in nature, therefore, the ratio of weightage of technical and financial proposal is optimised to <u>80:20</u> . Therefore, in <b>Clause no. 17-Method of Selection ( Section 3- Data Sheet)</b> , the figures '70' and '30' shall be read as '80' and '20', respectively. Also the said figures shall be considered

SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED
		<p>will be given a weightage of 70%. The method of evaluation of technical qualification will follow the procedure given in clause no. 13 above. The price bids of only those qualified bidders who score more than 70 marks in technical evaluation will be opened. The proposal with the lowest cost may be given a financial score of 100 and the other proposal given financial score that are inversely proportionate to their prices. The financial proposal shall be allocated weight of 30%.</p>	<p>highlight that to deliver the extensive scope successfully, the Bidder needs to showcase technical depth and understanding of the multiple domains involved. Importantly, Accordingly, we would like to request that the weightage used for selection be changed to 80:20.</p>	<p>substituted in view of above, if occurring elsewhere in the RFP document.</p>
5)		<p>Section 3 - Data Sheet Detailed Technical Qualifications Assessment: Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria (Pg 19 of 71)/ Sr.No. 1. Experience of the consultant firm Pt. A Experience in providing services in</p>	<p>We would like to request if DTCP could reword the evaluation ask as follows: Pt. A Experience in providing consulting services in (i) Urban services (ii) Urban Transport, (iii) Housing including monetisation of land parcels to any State or the Union Government, any local</p>	<p>The word 'services' shall be read as 'consultancy services' in <b>Clause No. 2- Detailed Technical Qualification Assessment (Section-4 - Evaluation Marking)</b>. Also the said word shall be considered substituted in view of above, if occurring elsewhere in the RFP document. Rest of the suggestions are not considered to be valid and hence rejected.</p>

SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED
		<p>(i) Urban services (ii) Urban Transport, (iii) Housing including monetisation of land parcels to any State or the Union Government, any local authority, statutory body or public sector undertaking.</p> <p>Pt. B Experience in providing services in (i) Urban planning including town planning, local area planning of town planning schemes (ii) Comprehensive mobility plans, (iii) in-situ redevelopment or upgrading of slums to any State or the Union Government, any local Authority, statutory body or public sector undertaking.</p>	<p>authority, statutory body or public sector undertaking. Such assignments should have been performed during the period of seven years prior to the date of submission of the bid. And that the professional fees received or receivable from such assignment are at least Rupees One Crore in Indian Rupees.</p> <p>Pt. B Experience in providing consulting services in (i) Urban planning including town planning, local area planning of town planning schemes (ii) Comprehensive mobility plans, (iii) in-situ redevelopment or upgrading of slums to any State or the Union Government, any local Authority, statutory body or public sector undertaking.</p> <p>Such assignments should have been performed during the period of seven years prior to the date of submission of the bid. And that the professional fees received or receivable</p>	

SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED
			from such assignment are at least Rupees One Crore in Indian Rupees.	
6)		Section 3 -Data Sheet Detailed Technical Qualifications Assessment: Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria (Pg 19 and 20 of 71)/ Sl. No. 2. Experience of Key Experts required as mentioned in Pt. I and II	It is understood that the Associate Consultant is to be deployed at Client Office throughout the duration of the Project, but it is left to the Bidders to suggest person month effort anticipated by Team Lead, Primary Consultant and any other team member as the need is felt. It is our humble submission that this leaves the understanding of scope to be extremely open ended and Bidders will subjectively estimate effort leading to a un-even bidding in response to the current RFP. It is our suggestion based on having served several similar RFPs and scopes successfully, that DTCP should include the following to ensure even bidding:  i. Minimum effort estimate (person months) envisaged for Team Lead, Primary Consultant. We suggest it	It is clarified that the payments shall be disbursed to the consulting firm/ organisation as per the schedule and achievement of deliverables as mentioned at <b>Clause 4- Time duration and Payment (Section 7 -Terms of Reference)</b> . Accordingly, the note no. 2 of <b>Form FIN-2 Financial Proposal</b> is hereby substituted as follows:-  <i>2. It is clarified that furnishing of man-months shall not amount to any confirmation regarding them being deployed either full time or part time for the project.. They shall be considered for deployment at the sole-discretion of the Team Lead, Primary Consultant, Associate Consultant, Transport Planner and Public Policy Expert who shall be primarily responsible to ensure the execution of project alongwith achievement of project deliverables within the prescribed timelines.</i>  Also, in the RFP document, wherever the payment based on actual number of man days is mentioned, the same shall be considered substituted with schedule and achievement of deliverable linked payments.  Also the <b>Clause No. 2-Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria (Section 4-Evaluation Marking)</b> is hereby amended with the minimum number of Key Experts increased to ' <u>five</u> ' from 'three', wherein

SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED																					
			<p>should be at least 25% for Team Lead and 50% for Primary Consultant.</p> <p>ii. Beyond the minimum effort envisaged, Bidder may suggest additional experts and effort estimates based on requirement of the Scope of Work</p>	<p>one Key Expert shall mandatorily be a “Transport Planner” by qualification as well as experience and the other “Public Policy Expert”, both having experience of not less than 5 years for any Government, Government Agency or Reputed Company, Firm or Partnership, in their respective fields. The table at <b>Clause 1- Technical Evaluation (Section 4-Evaluation Marking)</b> shall be substituted as follows:-</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1669 699 2448 979"> <thead> <tr> <th>S.No</th> <th>Criteria</th> <th>Marks</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>Experience of the Consulting firm/organisation (1.a + 1.b)</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>Experience of Proposed Key Experts/Staff</td> <td>35</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>Detailed methodology for each item in the Scope of Work</td> <td>40</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Further, in <b>Clause 2- Detailed Technical Qualifications Assessment (Section 4-Evaluation Marking)</b>, the revised distribution of marking criteria for Key Experts at S.No. 2 shall be as follows:-</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1669 1232 2561 1408"> <thead> <tr> <th>2</th> <th>Experience of Key Experts</th> <th>Maximum Marks-35</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>I</td> <td>Team Leader (Urban Sector Specialist)</td> <td>15 Marks</td> </tr> <tr> <td>II</td> <td>Primary Consultant (Senior Urban Planner)</td> <td>05 Marks</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	S.No	Criteria	Marks	1.	Experience of the Consulting firm/organisation (1.a + 1.b)	25	2.	Experience of Proposed Key Experts/Staff	35	3.	Detailed methodology for each item in the Scope of Work	40	2	Experience of Key Experts	Maximum Marks-35	I	Team Leader (Urban Sector Specialist)	15 Marks	II	Primary Consultant (Senior Urban Planner)	05 Marks
S.No	Criteria	Marks																							
1.	Experience of the Consulting firm/organisation (1.a + 1.b)	25																							
2.	Experience of Proposed Key Experts/Staff	35																							
3.	Detailed methodology for each item in the Scope of Work	40																							
2	Experience of Key Experts	Maximum Marks-35																							
I	Team Leader (Urban Sector Specialist)	15 Marks																							
II	Primary Consultant (Senior Urban Planner)	05 Marks																							

SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED																					
				<table border="1" data-bbox="1669 297 2556 508"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1669 297 1749 402">III</td> <td data-bbox="1749 297 2354 402">Associate Consultant (Planner) (to be mandatorily stationed at Department)</td> <td data-bbox="2354 297 2556 402">05 Marks</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1669 402 1749 472">IV</td> <td data-bbox="1749 402 2354 472">Transport Consultant (Transport Planner)</td> <td data-bbox="2354 402 2556 472">05 Marks</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1669 472 1749 508">V</td> <td data-bbox="1749 472 2354 508">Public Policy Expert</td> <td data-bbox="2354 472 2556 508">05 Marks</td> </tr> </table> <p data-bbox="1669 557 2569 743">Further, in <b>Clause 2- Detailed Technical Qualifications Assessment (Section 4-Evaluation Marking)</b>, the revised distribution of marking criteria for S.No. 3 -Detailed Methodology for each Item in the Scope of work shall be as follows:-</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1669 768 2556 1065"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1669 768 1749 837"><b>3</b></td> <td data-bbox="1749 768 2354 837">Detailed Methodology for each Item in the Scope of Work</td> <td data-bbox="2354 768 2556 837"><b>Maximum Marks-40</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1669 837 1749 907">a</td> <td data-bbox="1749 837 2354 907">Prior knowledge of the subjects &amp; workings of the State</td> <td data-bbox="2354 837 2556 907">10 marks</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1669 907 1749 961">b</td> <td data-bbox="1749 907 2354 961">Approach to the tasks at hand</td> <td data-bbox="2354 907 2556 961">10 marks</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1669 961 1749 1065">c</td> <td data-bbox="1749 961 2354 1065">Soundness of methodology and work plan</td> <td data-bbox="2354 961 2556 1065">20 marks</td> </tr> </table> <p data-bbox="1669 1114 2569 1195">All corresponding clauses of the RFP document shall be considered substituted accordingly.</p>	III	Associate Consultant (Planner) (to be mandatorily stationed at Department)	05 Marks	IV	Transport Consultant (Transport Planner)	05 Marks	V	Public Policy Expert	05 Marks	<b>3</b>	Detailed Methodology for each Item in the Scope of Work	<b>Maximum Marks-40</b>	a	Prior knowledge of the subjects & workings of the State	10 marks	b	Approach to the tasks at hand	10 marks	c	Soundness of methodology and work plan	20 marks
III	Associate Consultant (Planner) (to be mandatorily stationed at Department)	05 Marks																							
IV	Transport Consultant (Transport Planner)	05 Marks																							
V	Public Policy Expert	05 Marks																							
<b>3</b>	Detailed Methodology for each Item in the Scope of Work	<b>Maximum Marks-40</b>																							
a	Prior knowledge of the subjects & workings of the State	10 marks																							
b	Approach to the tasks at hand	10 marks																							
c	Soundness of methodology and work plan	20 marks																							
7)		Section 3 - Data Sheet Detailed Technical Qualifications Assessment: Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria (Pg 20 of 71)/	We would like to humbly highlight that the RFP is contradicting itself on two important counts: 1) It asks for flexibility in deployment, as	It is clarified that the payments shall be disbursed to the consulting firm/ organisation as per the schedule and achievement of deliverables as mentioned at <b>Clause 4- Time duration and Payment (Section 7 -Terms of Reference)</b> . Accordingly, the note																					



SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED
		<p>Sl.No. 2. Experience of Key Experts and Note:- It is possible that in order to keep pace with the short term requirements of the project as per the time line fixed by MoHUA, Gol, a larger team needs to be put in place and similarly when the demands of work are relatively relaxed, a smaller team can be deployed. Primarily, completing the work in the given time schedule to the satisfaction of MoHUA, Gol and the Department is of utmost importance and the composition of team to achieve the results shall remain flexible. Nevertheless, the envisaged deployment of professionals along with all ancillary expenses envisaged in field visits, site survey, data collection etc. shall be indicated under independent heads in the</p>	<p>well as in the team to be deployed. Under the payment terms (in Form Fin-2), it event states that “Payment for the Services of the Key Experts shall be based on actual number of man days of the Key Experts deployed by the Consultant.”</p> <p>2) It says the project is envisaged to be executed on a turnkey basis, meaning that scope, timelines and bid value are fixed (also seen in Form Fin-2). The above would need correction from DTCP as both these modalities are not possible at the same time. Given the expansive scope and the nature of work wherein team may be needed to be deployed at short notice (as also mentioned in the RFP), we suggest that the bidding parameter (Financial Quote) be changed to a Blended Person Month rate instead of the Lumpsum fee currently requested. This would bring all related provisions in line with each other and ensure that</p>	<p>no. 2 of <b>Form FIN-2 Financial Proposal</b> is hereby omitted. Also, in the RFP document, wherever the payment based on actual number of man days is mentioned, the same shall be considered substituted with schedule and achievement of deliverable linked payments.</p>

SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED
		financial proposal. Nevertheless, the project is envisaged to be executed on turnkey basis, meaning thereby that whereas the scope and timelines for the project remain fixed, the deployment of personnel by the selected bidder, either onsite or offsite, shall be solely governed by the project requirements and the need felt during execution of project to achieve the project deliverables within the prescribed timelines	payments are done as per deployment schedule only while leaving flexibility with DTCP as well the Bidder to increase/decrease effort as needed.	
8)		Remuneration pattern/ Reference to the following clause - i. Section 3-Data Sheet. Detailed Technical Qualifications Assessment: Technical Bid EvaluationCriteria - Experience of Key Experts (Page 19 and 20 of 71)	Same comment as above.	Same as clarification/ decision cited at Sr. No. 7 above.

SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED
		<p>ii. Section 3-Data Sheet. Detailed Technical Qualifications Assessment: Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria - Note (Page 20 of 71)</p> <p>iii. FORM FIN-2 FINANCIAL PROPOSAL. Note Pt 2 and 5 (Page 39 of 71).</p> <p>iv. Section - 7 Ternsof Reference, Clause 4.Time duration and Payments Para reads 'Beyond the period of 24 months, the duration of the Project may be extended upon mutual agreement of Director, Department of Town and Country Planning, Haryana and the Consultant on the same terms and conditions of this agreement. After initial term of 12 months and further extendable 12 months, person-</p>		

SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED
		<p>day rates shall be revised@10% per annum compounded annually during the extensions and Table provided deliverable based payment of lum sum amounts. (Pg 46- 49 of 71)</p>		
9.		<p>Section - 8 Form of Contract AGREEMENT FOR CONSULTANCY SERVICES Part - II General Condition of contract (Pg 68-69 of 71)/ 5. Service levels and performance Metrics Notes - • Payment to the consultant is linked to compliance with the SLA metrics • The total cumulative penalty on the breach of timeline or quality as per above matrices should not exceed to the 30% of overall fee quoted by the consultant in financial proposal.</p>	<p>We would like to request you to remove the Penalty clause as payments are anyway linked to efforts and as well as the delivery of work products. If at all Penalty is to be kept, we would request this to capped to 10% as the same is standard practice in RFPs where the need for Penalty is felt.</p>	<p><b>Clause 5- Service levels and performance metrics ( Part -II- General Conditions of Contract, Section 8- Form of Contract)</b> is amended to the extent that the total cumulative penalty on the breach of timeline or quality as per metrics shall not exceed <u>10% of contract value.</u></p> <p>Further, <b>Column III-Penalty at Sr. No. 1 of Clause 5- Service levels and performance metrics ( Part -II- General Conditions of Contract, Section 8- Form of Contract)</b> is substituted as follows:-</p> <p><i>In case the submission of proposals/deliverables/ documentary evidence for claiming incentives for urban reforms as committed by the Department to the Ministry are not submitted timely as a result of which the Department does not get the budgetary allocations, then 10% of the payment amount assigned to the said submissions shall be deducted from the total contract amount.</i></p> <p><i>Note:- In case the timelines defined by Ministry are</i></p>

SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED
				<p><i>extended and it is mutually decided to allow time extension in submission of reports mentioned in column (II) and the consultant submits the proposals as per decided timelines, then the penalty shall not be levied</i></p> <p>Further, Column III-penalty at Sr. No. 2 of <b>Clause 5- Service levels and performance metrics ( Part -II- General Conditions of Contract, Section 8- Form of Contract)</b> is substituted as follows:-</p> <p>Penalty will be imposed if submission of deliverables missed the mentioned timeline in ToR by :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Delayed submission by 7 days - 5% deductions from the amount payable against the milestone</li> <li>2. Delayed submission by 10 days - 7.5% deductions from the amount payable against the milestone</li> <li>3. Delayed submission by 15 days - 10% deductions from the amount payable against the milestone</li> </ol>
10.		Section - 8 Form of Contract Agreement For Consultancy Services Part - II General Condition of contract (Pg 68-69 of 71)/ Suggested to include the following standard provisions pertaining to Liability, Indemnity, confidentiality, and termination	We request inclusion of suggested clauses as given in the Annexure.	The pre-bid queries have been taken on record and the contract shall be suitably amended at the time of execution of the same.

SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED
11.	<u>KPMG Advisory Services Private Limited</u>	Section 2.10.4 Performance Guarantee/ The selected consultant shall be required to furnish a Performance Guarantee equivalent to 10% of the contract value rounded off to the nearest thousand Indian Rupees in the form of unconditional and irrevocable bank guarantee from a scheduled commercial bank in India for the period of contract with 90 days claim period.	We would request the Authority to change the Performance Security to 5% of the contract value as per the standard for consultancy assignments.	<p>In order to ensure adherence to timeline(s) and quality of deliverable(s), the Performance Guarantee required to be submitted as per <b>Clause 2.10.4- Performance Guarantee (Section 2 - Instructions to Consulting Firms/Organisations)</b> is kept unchanged.</p> <p>However, <b>Column II at Sr. No. 1 of Clause 4- Time duration and Payment (Section 7 -Terms of Reference)</b> is amended to the extent that no Performance Bank Guarantee in addition to <b>Clause 2.10.4- Performance Guarantee (Section 2 - Instructions to Consulting Firms/Organisations)</b> shall be required to be submitted.</p>
12.		Section 3.16 Average annual turnover Page - 18/ The Applicant must have an average annual turnover of at least Indian Rupees Ten Crore per annum for the period between 01 April 2020 and 31 March 2023.	The reforms under capital Investment scheme are quite diversified and therefore, would require extensive experience of the firm to deliver the tasks in stipulated timeframe. We request to increase the annual average turnover to at least 200Cr. for quality competition.	Same as clarification/ decision cited at Sr. No. 3 above.
13.		Section 4. Evaluation marking Page - 19/ Experience of the consultant firm (a + b) Maximum Marks-25	Basis our experience of similar assignment in other states, we understand that the experience of working in similar sector	The <b>Clause No. 2-Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria (Section 4-Evaluation Marking)</b> is hereby amended with the minimum number of Key Experts increased to ' <u>five</u> ' from 'three', wherein

SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED																					
			<p>(as per the scheme requirement) and having equally qualified resources is quite important, and, therefore, we request to modify the marking distribution as following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Experience of the Consultant Firm- 50 Marks</li> <li>2. Experience of Key Experts- 20 Marks</li> <li>3. Detailed methodology for each item in the Scope of Work- 30 Marks</li> </ol>	<p>one Key Expert shall mandatorily be a “Transport Planner” by qualification as well as experience and the other “Public Policy Expert”, both having experience of not less than 5 years for any Government, Government Agency or Reputed Company, Firm or Partnership, in their respective fields. The table at <b>Clause 1- Technical Evaluation (Section 4-Evaluation Marking)</b> shall be substituted as follows:-</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1669 699 2448 979"> <thead> <tr> <th>S.No</th> <th>Criteria</th> <th>Marks</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>Experience of the Consulting firm/organisation (1.a + 1.b)</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>Experience of Proposed Key Experts/Staff</td> <td>35</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>Detailed methodology for each item in the Scope of Work</td> <td>40</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Further, in <b>Clause 2- Detailed Technical Qualifications Assessment (Section 4-Evaluation Marking)</b>, the revised distribution of marking criteria for Key Experts at S.No. 2 shall be as follows:-</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1669 1232 2561 1408"> <thead> <tr> <th>2</th> <th>Experience of Key Experts</th> <th>Maximum Marks-35</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>I</td> <td>Team Leader (Urban Sector Specialist)</td> <td>15 Marks</td> </tr> <tr> <td>II</td> <td>Primary Consultant (Senior Urban Planner)</td> <td>05 Marks</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	S.No	Criteria	Marks	1.	Experience of the Consulting firm/organisation (1.a + 1.b)	25	2.	Experience of Proposed Key Experts/Staff	35	3.	Detailed methodology for each item in the Scope of Work	40	2	Experience of Key Experts	Maximum Marks-35	I	Team Leader (Urban Sector Specialist)	15 Marks	II	Primary Consultant (Senior Urban Planner)	05 Marks
S.No	Criteria	Marks																							
1.	Experience of the Consulting firm/organisation (1.a + 1.b)	25																							
2.	Experience of Proposed Key Experts/Staff	35																							
3.	Detailed methodology for each item in the Scope of Work	40																							
2	Experience of Key Experts	Maximum Marks-35																							
I	Team Leader (Urban Sector Specialist)	15 Marks																							
II	Primary Consultant (Senior Urban Planner)	05 Marks																							

SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED														
				III	Associate Consultant (Planner) (to be mandatorily stationed at Department)	05 Marks												
				IV	Transport Consultant (Transport Planner)	05 Marks												
				V	Public Policy Expert	05 Marks												
				<p>Further, in <b>Clause 2- Detailed Technical Qualifications Assessment (Section 4-Evaluation Marking)</b>, the revised distribution of marking criteria for S.No. 3 -Detailed Methodology for each Item in the Scope of work shall be as follows:-</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1669 764 2564 1065"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1669 764 1749 834">3</td> <td data-bbox="1749 764 2354 834">Detailed Methodology for each Item in the Scope of Work</td> <td data-bbox="2354 764 2564 834"><b>Maximum Marks-40</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1669 834 1749 904">a</td> <td data-bbox="1749 834 2354 904">Prior knowledge of the subjects &amp; workings of the State</td> <td data-bbox="2354 834 2564 904">10 marks</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1669 904 1749 958">b</td> <td data-bbox="1749 904 2354 958">Approach to the tasks at hand</td> <td data-bbox="2354 904 2564 958">10 marks</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1669 958 1749 1065">c</td> <td data-bbox="1749 958 2354 1065">Soundness of methodology and work plan</td> <td data-bbox="2354 958 2564 1065">20 marks</td> </tr> </table> <p>All corresponding clauses of the RFP document shall be considered substituted accordingly.</p>			3	Detailed Methodology for each Item in the Scope of Work	<b>Maximum Marks-40</b>	a	Prior knowledge of the subjects & workings of the State	10 marks	b	Approach to the tasks at hand	10 marks	c	Soundness of methodology and work plan	20 marks
3	Detailed Methodology for each Item in the Scope of Work	<b>Maximum Marks-40</b>																
a	Prior knowledge of the subjects & workings of the State	10 marks																
b	Approach to the tasks at hand	10 marks																
c	Soundness of methodology and work plan	20 marks																
14.		Section 4. Evaluation marking 2. Detailed Technical Qualifications Assessment Page - 19/ Experience	As the PMU is envisioned at the state level, we suggest keeping the experience at centre or state level only. Sector	The suggestion is not considered.														



SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED
		in providing services in (i) Urban services (ii) Urban Transport, (iii) Housing including monetization of land parcels to any State or the Union Government, any local Authority, statutory body, or public sector undertaking.	experience at public sector undertaking level would be very different and should not be considered in such a case. We suggest increase the marking to 15 marks for this criterion.	
15.		Section 4. Evaluation marking/ As mentioned in the RFP, timelines and quality are of utmost importance. We advise to include the financial strength of the consultant firm in the evaluation criteria	We suggest including the following clause: Financial strength of the consultant firm (Tr) (Average annual turnover of the firm / company / bidder for the last 3 years F.Y.2022-23, 2021-22, 2020-21) 200.00 Crore - 400.00 Crore (10 Marks) More than 400.00 Crore(20 Marks)	Same as clarification/ decision cited at Sr. No. 3 above.
16.		Section 4. Evaluation marking 2. Experience of Key Experts Page - 19	We suggest modifying the marking as following: Team Leader-10 marks Primary Consultant-5 marks Associate Consultant-5 marks	Same as clarification/ decision cited at Sr. No. 13 above.
17.		Form Tech 3 Breakdown of Remuneration Page 40/ Technical evaluation of the bidders shall be	As per the RFP, inputs of 2 out 3 resources shall remain off-site and therefore, we request to clarify that such	It is clarified that the Associate Planner shall be required to be stationed at the Directorate. Further, the remaining four resources shall be required to be available in the Department, in person, as

SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED
		<p>based on the technical experience and expertise of the Team Leader, the Primary Consultant and Associate Consultant proposed for the project. The designation of “Team Leader”, “Primary Consultant” and “Associate Consultant” is mentioned only to convey the pivotal role that the three professionals shall play in achieving the end goals for the project, since, the entire responsibility of conceptualization, execution, priority guidance to the team and achieving completion shall lie with them. They shall be free to choose their team. They shall be required to make presentation to the Department every fortnight, detailing out the progress made by the team.</p>	<p>presentations from the off-site resources shall be online only.</p>	<p>and when required viz. important review meetings/ submissions etc.</p>
18.		FORM FIN-2 Financial proposal	We understand that the payment is	Same as clarification/ decision cited at Sr. No. 7 above.

SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED
		Page-39/ 2. Payment for the Services of the Key Experts shall be based on actual number of man days of the Key Experts deployed by the Consultant. No payment shall be made for non-working day, and holiday.	milestone based, and therefore we request to omit this clause.	
19.	<u>NICMAR University</u>	Clause no. 16 (Evaluation Criteria) of Section-3 (Data Sheet)	NICMAR University at the moment does not fulfil the criteria for “previous experience” i.e. two completed projects of Rs. 1.00 Cr each. We would like to request your esteemed self to relax this criterion and allow NICMAR University a chance to contribute to the ambitious agenda of the great State of Haryana.	In view of deliberations held in the meeting, the following is clarified with regard to <b>Clause no. 16 -Evaluation Criteria (Section-3 -Data Sheet)</b> :- a. ‘No. of years in legal existence’:- It is clarified that if any entity was existing in any other legal form earlier, then the same shall also be considered upon submission of valid documentary evidence. b. ‘Prior experience’ :- The criteria pertaining to ‘Incorporation’ is suitably amended to allow Institutions/Universities of repute to participate in bidding process. The other suggestions are not considered.
20.	<u>NANGIA Andersen LLP</u> <i>(The pre-bid clarifications from NANGIA Andersen</i>	16. Evaluation Criteria: Minimum Eligibility criteria for bidder Having completed or currently engaged in at least two assignments related to any of the	We request to amend the clause as: Having completed or currently engaged inat least two assignments related to any of the following: - Urban development and planning	The suggestion is not considered.

SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED
	<p><i>LLP were neither received within stipulated time period nor the meeting attended by any representative of the firm.)</i></p>	<p>following:  - Urban development and planning including town planning schemes or local area plans  - Urban Transport including Transit Oriented Development  - Housing including in-situ redevelopment of slums, slum upgradation or monetisation of land parcels.  - Building regulations or byelaws  Provided that the assignments should have been offered to any State or the Union Government, any local Authority, statutory body or public sector undertaking. And further that such assignments should have been performed during the period of seven years prior to the date of submission of the bid. And that the professional fees received or receivable from</p>	<p>including town planning schemes or local area plans  - Urban Transport including Transit Oriented Development  - Housing including in-situ redevelopment of slums, slum upgradation or monetisation of land parcels/ assets  - Building regulations or byelaws  - Experience in providing services in Urban services or Urban Transport  - Experience in master plans/ development plans including financial mobilization  Provided that the assignments should have been offered to any State or the Union Government, any local Authority, statutory body or public sector undertaking. And further that such assignments should have been performed during the period of seven years prior to the date of submission of the bid. And that the professional fees received or receivable from such assignment are at</p>	

SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED
		such assignment are at least Rupees One Crore in Indian Rupees.	least Rupees Fifty Lakhs in Indian Rupees.	
21.		16. Evaluation Criteria: Minimum Eligibility criteria for bidder Consortia between two or more entities shall not be permitted.	Requesting to allow JV/ Consortium	It is clarified that JV/ Consortium shall not allowed. However, sub consulting firms shall be allowed as already specified in RFP document.
22.		<p>2. Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria; Experience of the consultant firm</p> <p>b. Experience in providing services in (i) Urban planning including town planning, local are planning of town planning schemes (ii) Comprehensive mobility plans, (iii) in-situ redevelopment or upgrading of slums to any State or the Union Government, any local Authority, statutory body or public sector undertaking.</p> <p>- 2 Projects - 6 marks - 3 Projects - 9 marks</p>	<p>We request to amend the clause as:</p> <p>Experience in providing services in (i) Urban planning including town planning, local are planning of town planning schemes (ii) Comprehensive mobility plans, (iii) in-situ redevelopment or upgrading of slums OR, (iv) masterplans/development plan including financial mobilization to any State or the Union Government, any local Authority, statutory body or public sector undertaking.</p> <p>- 2 Projects - 6 marks - 3 Projects - 9 marks - 4 Projects - 12 marks</p>	Same as clarification/ decision cited at Sr. No. 13 above.

SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 4 Projects - 12 marks</li> <li>- 5 or more Projects - 15 marks</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 5 or more Projects - 15 marks</li> </ul>	
23.		<p>2. Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria; Experience of Key Experts</p> <p>I. Team Leader (Urban Sector Specialist)</p> <p>a. Cumulative experience of at least fifteen years as a town planner for any Government, Government agency or reputed company, firm or partnership.</p> <p>b. Experience of working as a Team Leader for a minimum of three projects</p> <p>c. Experience in preparation and/or supporting execution of town planning schemes, local area plans and similar urban planning exercises (Marking: two mark per project/scheme for up to five marks, tenmarks for five or more projects/schemes)</p>	<p>We understand that for every project upto five numbers, two marks will be awarded per project and ten marks for any number of projects above five. Please confirm.</p> <p>Our submission is to consider</p> <p>a. Cumulative experience of at least twelve years as a town planner for any Government, Government agency or reputed company, firm or partnership.</p> <p>b. c. Experience in preparation and/or supporting execution of town planning schemes/ local area plans/ planning of urban services and similar urban planning exercises</p>	<p>It is clarified that the <b>Section 4 (Evaluation Marking)</b> shall be retained as it is, except the changes as mentioned at S. No. 13 above.</p>

SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED
24.		<p>2. Technical BidEvaluationCriteria; Experience ofKey Experts</p> <p>II. Primary Consultant (Senior Urban Planner)</p> <p>a. Cumulative experience of at least ten years as a town planner for any Government, Government agency or reputed company, firm or partnership.</p> <p>b. Experience in preparation and/or supporting execution of town planning schemes, local area plans, transferable development rights and similar urban planning exercises. (Marking: one mark per project/scheme for up to three marks,three marks for three or more projects/ schemes)</p>	<p>Our submission is to consider cumulativeexperience of at least seven years as atown planner for any Government,Government agency or reputed company,firm or partnership.</p>	<p>It is clarified that the <b>Section 4 (Evaluation Marking)</b> shall be retained as it is, except the changes as mentioned at S.No. 13 above.</p>
25.		<p>2. Technical BidEvaluationCriteria; Experience ofKey Experts</p> <p>III. Associate Consultant (Planner) (to be mandatorily stationed at</p>	<p>Our submission is to consider cumulative experience of at least three years as a town planner for any Government, Government agency or reputed company,</p>	<p>It is clarified that the <b>Section 4 (Evaluation Marking)</b> shall be retained as it is, except the changes as mentioned at S.No. 13 above.</p>

SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED
		Department) a. Cumulative experience of at least five years as a town planner for any Government, Government agency or reputed company, firm or partnership. b. Prior exposure to preparation and/or supporting execution of town planning schemes, local area plans and similar urban planning exercises	firm or partnership.	
26.		3. Detailed methodology for each item in the Scope of Work a. Prior knowledge of the subjects & workings of the State - 15 marks	We request to amend the clause as: a. Prior knowledge of the subjects & sectors related to the scheme - 15 marks	It is clarified that the <b>Section 4 (Evaluation Marking)</b> shall be retained as it is, except the changes as mentioned at S.No. 13 above.
27.	<b><u>NANGIA &amp; CO LLP</u></b> <i>(The pre-bid clarifications from NANGIA &amp; CO LLP were neither received within</i>	SECTION 3 - DATA SHEET Page no. 17 of RFP/ 19. Joint Venture / Consortium - Not allowed	We request the authority to kindly allow a Joint Venture / Consortium, as this will enable participation of competent bidders. Please confirm?	Same as clarification/ decision cited at Sr. No. 21 above.



SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED
	<i>stipulated time period nor the meeting attended by any representative of the firm.)</i>			
28.	<b><u>Shashi Prabhu and Associates</u></b> <i>(The pre-bid clarifications from Shashi Prabhu and Associates were neither received within stipulated time period nor the meeting attended by any representative of the firm.)</i>		Please allow joint venture/ Consortium.	Same as clarification/ decision cited at Sr. No. 21 above.
29.			We request you to please extend the last date of bid submission against your bid by at least 15 days	Same as clarification/ decision cited at Sr. No. 1 above.

SR. NO.	NAME OF PARTICIPANT	SECTION PERTAINING TO QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION	QUERY/ REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION BY APPLICANT	CLARIFICATION/ AMENDMENT TO RFP APPROVED
30.	<p><b><u>FP Project Management</u></b>  <i>(The pre-bid clarifications from FP Project Management were neither received within stipulated time period nor the meeting attended by any representative of the firm.)</i></p>		Please allow joint venture/ Consortium.	Same as clarification/ decision cited at Sr. No. 21 above.